MAREK STACHOWSKI

A NOTE ON THE TURKMEN NAME FOR DRAGON / MONSTER / SNAKE

Among words illustrating his theses, S. A. Starostin (1997: 332) gives also the following entry:

« [Proto-Altaic] * žabda 'a k. [= kind] of snake' (Turc. > Turkm. juvdarxā 'monster, a k. of dragon' (?); Tung. * žabdar) > Jap. *datua »

The very formulation raises doubts about the correctness of the reconstruction: if the question mark is justified, the Turkmen word does not belong here – are there then any other reflexes of the word in Turkic languages? (if there are not, the Tunguz * $\check{z}abdar$ is not enough to postulate the existence of a Proto-Altaic etymon); what is the historical status of *-r(-)? (it is absent from the Proto-Altaic and the Japanese etymon, but it turns up in Tunguz and Turkmen); what is the - $(r)x\bar{a}$ suffix in Turkic?; are there any other derivatives in - $(r)x\bar{a}$?

However, the main goal of our study is not to evaluate the correctness of the Proto-Altaic etymon **\v\delta bda* (cf. esp. Miller 2000:71) but, instead, to discuss the etymology of the Turkmen word.

First of all, we should complete the word material. It is not especially weighty that the Turkmen juvdarxā has also an adjectival meaning of 'never surfeited, ravenous, greedy' since it can be derived from the sense of 'dragon' (however, as the etymology presented below shows, the semantic connection between 'dragon' and 'ravenous' may have also played a role in the development of the Turkmen word).

It appears more important that the word $juvdarx\bar{a}$ has also another phonetic variant: $a\bar{z}darx\bar{a} \sim a\bar{z}dar$ '1. snake; 2. dragon'. This not only shows that the word-final $-x\bar{a}$ probably is an independent segment but also makes it possible (or even inevitable) to equate the Turkmen words $juvdarx\bar{a} \sim a\bar{z}dar(x\bar{a})$ with Ottoman-Turkish 1603 \ddot{a} \ddot{s} t \ddot{a} \ddot{r} ha, 1680 \ddot{a} \ddot{z} d \ddot{a} \ddot{r} (\dot{h} \ddot{a}), 1730 a \ddot{z} d \ddot{a} \ddot{r} ,

1790 $a\check{z}d\ddot{a}r \sim a\check{z}d\ddot{a}r$ 'dragon' (Stachowski 1974: No 134) = Karaim $a\check{z}da\gamma a$ id. = New Uyghur $\ddot{a}\check{c}t\ddot{a}r$ id. and to etymologize them from the Persian word $a\check{z}dar$ (Pl. $a\check{z}darh\bar{a}$) 'dragon'.

Now, the question arises, how the word-initial juv- came into being. The fact that juv-, as it seems, does not occur in the equivalents of the Turkmen word in other Turkic languages suggests the idea of an individual and sporadic change in Turkmen alone. We would like to explain it as a result of two psychic processes: association and folk-etymology.

First, the word-initial $a\check{z}$ - of the Turkmen $a\check{z}dar(x\bar{a})$ has probably been associated with Turkmen $a\check{s}$ 'food, meal', so that the entire word could have been interpreted as a designation of a being which is, this way or another, connected with eating or devouring. All the more as the rest of the word could then have been understood as a suffix composition: -dar (< Persian $d\bar{a}r$ 'having; habend', cf. Turkmen $m\bar{a}ld\bar{a}r$ [-ll-] 'cattle-breeder' < $m\bar{a}l$ 'cattle', $d\ddot{u}k\bar{a}nd\bar{a}r$ [-nn-] 'shop-keeper' < $d\ddot{u}k\bar{a}n$ 'shop' (Clark 1998: 521); Ottoman-Turkish veznedar 'cashier' < vezne 'cash desk', defterdar 'minister of finance' < defter 'register, tax list/register, booklet, copy book') and $-h\bar{a}$, Persian plural suffix.

This folk-etymology (partially correct, because the Turkmen segment $-x\bar{a}$ actually is a reflex of the Persian plural suffix) made then possible a contamination of the segment $a\check{z}(d)$ -, misunderstood as a variant of $a\check{s}$ 'food, meal', with juv(d)-, being a part of the Turkmen juvut- ($\sim juvdV$ -) 'to swallow, to gulp', juvd-ul- 'to be swallowed', juvd-un- 'to swallow the spit (e.g. in fear)', juvd-um 'a gulp'. The result was a new word $juvdarx\bar{a}$ whose word-initial syllable suggested a creature that swallows (its victims).

In the light of what has been said above there exists no possibility of reconstructing a Proto-Altaic etymon of the Turkmen word juvdarxā.

Literature

Clark, L.: Turkmen reference grammar, Wiesbaden 1998.

Miller, R.A.: How to name a dragon in Altaic. - Studia Etymologica Cracoviensia 5 (2000): 57-78.

Stachowski, S.: Studien über die neupersischen Lehnwörter im Osmanisch-Türkischen, 2. – Folia Orientalia 15 (1974): 87-118 [reprinted in: Stachowski, S.: Osmanlı Türkçesinde Yeni Farsça Alıntılar Sözlüğü – Wörterbuch der neupersischen Lehnwörter im Osmanisch-Türkischen, İstanbul 1998].

Starostin, S. A.: On the "consonant splits" in Japanese. – Hegedus, I. et al. (eds): *Indo-European, Nostratic and beyond: Festschrift for Vitalij V. Shevoroshkin*, Washington 1997: 326-341.